

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

3:16-cr-00051-BR

Plaintiff,

ORDER

v.

AMMON BUNDY, JON RITZHEIMER,  
JOSEPH O'SHAUGHNESSY, RYAN  
PAYNE, RYAN BUNDY, BRIAN  
CAVALIER, SHAWNA COX, PETER  
SANTILLI, JASON PATRICK,  
DUANE LEO EHMER, DYLAN  
ANDERSON, SEAN ANDERSON,  
DAVID LEE FRY, JEFF WAYNE  
BANTA, SANDRA LYNN ANDERSON,  
KENNETH MEDENBACH, BLAINE  
COOPER, WESLEY KJAR, COREY  
LEQUIEU, NEIL WAMPLER, JASON  
CHARLES BLOMGREN, DARRYL  
WILLIAM THORN, GEOFFREY  
STANEK, TRAVIS COX, and ERIC  
LEE FLORES,

Defendants.

**BROWN, Judge.**

On March 22, 2016, the Court conducted a hearing on Defendant Ryan Payne's Unopposed<sup>1</sup> Emergency Motion (#312) for Court Order Prohibiting United States Marshals from Removing Ryan Payne and Listed Defendants from the District of Oregon and on the Court's Interim Order (#313) in which the Court directed the United States Marshal not to execute certain Writs of *Habeas Corpus ad Prosequendum* requiring the United States Marshal to transport such Defendants to the District of Nevada. In addition to Defendant Ryan Payne, the "Listed Defendants" are Defendants Ammon Bundy, Ryan Bundy, Brian Cavalier, and Blaine Cooper. Defendants Peter Santilli and Joseph O'Shaughnessy are also affected by this Order as noted below.

The Honorable Peggy Leen, United States Magistrate Judge for the District of Nevada, participated in the hearing by video conference on behalf of the District of Nevada District Court. Oregon Assistant United States Attorneys Craig Gabriel and Charles Gorder appeared personally for the government and were assisted by Nevada Assistant United States Attorney Steve Myhre, who appeared by video conference. Defendant Ryan Bundy appeared personally *pro se* and with his Oregon stand-by counsel of record, and each of the other moving Defendants and Defendant Peter

---

<sup>1</sup> As the record reflects, however, the government filed a Response (#331) on March 21, 2016, indicating its opposition to the merits of the Motion.

Santilli appeared personally and with Oregon counsel of record.<sup>2</sup> In addition, the Court notes the presence either by telephone or by videoconference of Defendant Ammon Bundy's Nevada counsel, Dan Hill; Defendant Ryan Payne's Nevada counsel, Assistant Public Defenders Shari Kaufman, William Carrico, and Ryan Norwood; Defendant Joseph O'Shaughnessy's Oregon counsel, Amy Baggio, and his Nevada counsel, Andrea Luem; and other Nevada defense counsel, Mace Yampolski, Daniel Hill, Matt Lay, and Angela Dows.

After a careful review of the parties' written and oral arguments and for the reasons stated on the record at the conclusion of the proceedings, the Court makes the following findings and rulings:

1. On the request of the government in an *ex parte* proceeding, the United States District Court for the District of Nevada authorized the Writs of *Habeas Corpus ad Prosequendum* at issue. Although the moving Defendants assert the Nevada District Court did not have the power to issue such Writs for Defendants in the custody of the United States Marshal in Oregon pursuant to this Court's orders of detention in the Oregon case, the Court is not aware of any precedent declaring that co-equal United States District Courts do not have the power to cooperate in the

---

<sup>2</sup> With the consent of Defendant Blaine Cooper, Federal Public Defender Lisa Hay, who represents Defendant Ryan Payne, assisted Cooper in the absence of his regular defense counsel, Krista Shipsey, who was out of the District at the time of this hearing scheduled on short notice.

administration of their respective criminal proceedings. Thus, to the extent that the moving Defendants object to execution of the Writs on the basis that the Nevada District Court lacked the authority to authorize the government's request to issue them, the Court overrules that objection.

2. To the extent that the moving Defendants object to execution of the Writs on the basis that such execution will interfere with the proceedings in this Court and with Defendants' attendant rights to a speedy trial, to due process, and to effective assistance of counsel, the Court overrules that objection as premature on the following two premises: First, this Court extends equal respect and comity to the District Court of Nevada in the conduct of its proceedings as this Court expects to receive in return. Second, this Court concludes allowing these Writs to be executed on this single occasion<sup>3</sup> for the purpose of first appearances in the District of Nevada and for a prompt return to Oregon within approximately ten (10) days would

---

<sup>3</sup> The Court notes this Order applies to the current Writs for the explicit purpose of accomplishing Defendants' first appearances in the District of Nevada and that these rulings are over the objection of each affected Defendant who reserves the right to challenge their return to the District of Oregon after he has appeared in the District of Nevada. Nevertheless, the Court relies upon Judge Sheen's forecast that there is not any legal impediment to Defendants' return to the District of Oregon, and the Court does not make any finding whatsoever as to whether any future writs would be treated in a similar fashion.

not interfere with this Court's proceedings or with Defendants' rights in this forum provided that Defendants' transport-and-return occurs as directed herein.

3. To the extent that the moving Defendants object on the basis that execution of the Writs will interfere with their rights to a speedy trial, to due process, and to effective assistance of counsel in the District of Nevada proceedings, that objection must be addressed to the Nevada District Court, which has jurisdiction over the Nevada proceedings and how those proceedings are conducted.

4. Finally, to the extent that Defendants object on the basis that execution of the Writs violates the Consent Decree cited in Defendants' Motion, the Court concludes the single, short-term absence of Defendants at the request of the District of Nevada on these specific Writs does not violate that Decree.

The Court, therefore, **VACATES** its Interim Order (#313) and replaces it with the following specific Order:

1. The government must provide to this Court and to the United States Marshal in the District of Oregon the current Writs of Habeas Corpus *ad Prosequendum* as to Defendants Ryan Payne, Ammom Bundy, Ryan Bundy, Brian Cavalier, and Blaine Cooper. In addition, although Defendant Peter Santilli has been

released from pretrial detention as to these Oregon proceedings, he, nevertheless, remains in custody in Oregon pursuant to an Order of Detention as to the Nevada proceedings. The government, therefore, must also provide to the Court and to the United States Marshal a similar Writ or other order so that the United States Marshal may transport Defendant Santilli to and return him from the District of Nevada together with the moving Defendants as directed herein.

2. The United States Marshal must make the necessary arrangements pursuant to this Order to transport the moving Defendants and Defendant Santilli on April 13, 2016, from Portland, Oregon, to Las Vegas, Nevada, for the purpose of their first appearances in the District of Nevada proceeding as soon thereafter as is practicable. No later than April 12, 2016, Oregon counsel (including stand-by counsel) for these Defendants must arrange to safeguard Defendants' papers during their absence from the District of Oregon.

3. No later than Monday, April 25, 2016,<sup>4</sup> the United States Marshal must return to the District of Oregon each of these Defendants and Defendant O'Shaughnessy (if he remains

---

<sup>4</sup> After the hearing on March 22, 2016, the Court learned the flight to return Defendants to the District of Oregon could only be scheduled at the earliest on April 25, 2016, a date this Court approves even though Defendants will be away for 12 rather than 10 days.

detained in the District of Nevada as of the date of the return transport).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 22nd day of March, 2016.

/s/ Anna J. Brown

---

ANNA J. BROWN  
United States District Judge